Esterel is a system-design language that can be used to generate complex state machines automatically. This article offers an overview of the syntax and usage.

Because of Esterel’s textual nature (as opposed to graphical) and compositional facilities, it is relatively easy to write compact specifications for systems with very complex state machines. A system with thousands of states can generally be specified by an Esterel program of only a few hundred lines.

Esterel—invented by G. Berry in INRIA, France—belongs to a family of formal specification languages specialized for reactive systems; other members of this family include StateCharts, Lustre, Signal, and SL. More information about Esterel and its history can be found at www.esterel.org. A variety of tools for compilation, code generation, simulation, theorem proving, and automata visualization are also available there.

Reactive systems

A reactive system is one that is in continuous interaction with its environment. Most real-time, embedded systems are reactive. In addition, operating systems, networking protocols, VLSI chips, and even a graphical user interface can be considered partly reactive.

The behavior of a reactive system can be thought of as a black box that continuously receives some input events and reacts by producing some output events (Figure 1). This output may in turn affect the production of later input events by the environment.

The task of specifying the behavior of a reactive system is akin to that of specifying relationships between the input and output events. However, such a task is complicated by the fact that several input events may happen simultaneously and that after the system receives an input event, it may take a finite nonzero amount of time to produce its response in the form of an output event. We can visualize the trace of the life of a reactive system as a series of overlapping reaction intervals; each reaction interval
begins when the system receives an input event and ends when it generates the corresponding output event (Figure 2).

**Synchrony hypothesis**

To simplify the behavioral specifications of reactive systems, Esterel makes an assumption called the synchrony hypothesis. The synchrony hypothesis says that the underlying machine is infinitely fast and, hence, the reaction of the system to an input event is instantaneous. As a consequence, the reaction intervals are reduced to reaction instants; therefore, the reactions do not overlap with each other. This assumption is also called the hypothesis of atomic reactions. The system is then active only at each instant. “In between” the instants, it is idle and awaiting input events.

At first glance, the synchrony hypothesis may seem unrealistic; however, it considerably simplifies the specifications of reactive systems and is suitable for a large number of application areas. In practice, what is needed is that the machine react to an input event before the next input event arrives.

Esterel allows multiple input events to arrive simultaneously. The reaction instant of Esterel is completed only when the system reacts to all of them; that is, the reaction to all the presently available input events constitutes the reaction instant.

**Determinism**

Esterel also assumes that the systems are deterministic. Informally, a nondeterministic system does not have a unique response to a given input event; instead, it chooses its response to the input event from a set of possible responses and an external observer has no way to consistently predict the response that will be chosen by the system. For example, suppose an elevator is currently at the third floor of a building and people on both the first and fifth floors simultaneously press the request button. If the relevant behavior of the elevator controller is specified nondeterministically, the response of the system will be either UP or DOWN movement without any guaranteed way for the users to predict it. Non-determinism corresponds to unlimited parallelism and not to any stochastic behavior. Automata theory defines non-determinism more rigorously.

All Esterel statements and constructs are guaranteed to be deterministic. There is no way to introduce any nondeterministic behavior in an Esterel program. The Esterel compiler checks the given program and ensures that it is deterministic. This assumption of determinism greatly simplifies the behavioral specifications.

**Parallelism**

Esterel provides the operator || for a parallel composition of its programs. If P1 and P2 are two Esterel programs then P1 || P2 is also an Esterel program, with the following characteristics:

- All inputs received from the environment are available to both P1 and P2.
- All outputs generated by P1 (or P2) are available in the same instant to P2 (or P1).
- Both P1 and P2 continue execution in parallel and the statement P1 || P2 terminates when both P1 and P2 terminate.
- No data or variables can be shared by P1 and P2.

**Modules**

A module in Esterel defines a (reusable) unit of behavior. A module is somewhat like a subroutine with its own local data and behavior. However, modules are quite different from subroutines in the manner of their usage. There is no “module call” facility in Esterel. A module is used like a macro in C; using a module simply means an inline substitution of its entire text at the place of “call.” There are other significant differences between a module and a subroutine. For example, no global data is
shared by modules, recursive module
definitions are not possible, and so on.

A module has an interface and a
body defining the behavior. Following
is the Esterel syntax to define a module.

\%
\% this is a line comment
module module-name :
   declarations and compiler directives
   \% signals, local variables etc.
   body
   \% end of module body
\

Each module can be thought of as
an independent Esterel program and
Esterel provides several constructs to
combine modules to build larger reac-
tive systems. In fact, an Esterel pro-
gram is typically an interconnected
network of modules.

Esterel does not have any notion of
global data or global memory shared by
all modules. However, each module
can define its local data in terms of vari-
ables. Each Esterel statement has asso-
ciated with it a precise definition about
its duration in number of instants; for
example, emit terminates instantly and
await terminates only when the signal
waited for becomes available.

A reactive system reacts to its input
events by producing output events. In
general, a reactive system needs to
interact with its environment; compo-
nent subsystems of a reactive system
also interact with each other. Esterel
provides a simple logical concept called
a signal to model many such events and
interactions. A signal is a logical unit of
information exchange and interaction;
its formal meaning is much like its
everyday meaning. Examples include
START, STOP, HOUR, ALARM, LIFT_ARRIVED,
BUTTON_PRESSED, and so on. Names of
signals in Esterel are conventionally
written in capital letters. Esterel pro-
vides different kinds of signals.

Classification attributes for a signal:
• Visibility: interface signal vs. local
  signal
• Information contained in a signal:
  pure signal vs. valued signal
• Accessibility of interface signals:
  input, output, inputoutput, sensor

Consider an Esterel module \( M \). The
module \( M \) can exchange information
with its external world or it may need to
exchange information within its parts
(or sub-modules) executing in parallel.
A signal that is exchanged between a
module \( M \) and its external environment
is called a \textit{interface signal}. An interface
signal is available for all parts and sub-
modules of \( M \) due to the instantaneous
signal broadcast mechanism in Esterel.
For modularity reasons, a module \( M \)
can use signals that are purely local to
\( M \) and its parts and sub-modules and
are hidden from the external environ-
ment of \( M \). Such a signal is called a \textit{local
signal} of module \( M \).

A \textit{pure signal} does not carry any
information within it; only its presence
or absence can be detected by the sys-
tem. Thus a pure signal is typeless. A \textit{val-
ued signal} is a typed signal and carries a
value whenever it is emitted; the type of
a valued signal indicates the kinds of
values that the signal can carry.

A module needs to declare its inter-
face to the external world in terms of
input signals, output signals, inputout-
put signals, and sensors; also, each such
interface signal can be pure or valued.

An \textit{input signal} for a module can
only be “read” by the module; the
module cannot generate (or emit)
such a signal. An \textit{output signal} for a
module can be generated by the mod-
ule; the module cannot “read” such a
signal. Clearly, an input signal for a
module can be an output signal for
another module and vice versa. An
\textit{inputoutput signal} for a module is one
that can be both emitted as well as read
by the module. Typically, such a signal
is used only for those signals which the
system receives from the environment
and sends back to the environment
after some filtering or processing.

A \textit{sensor} is a special kind of signal
that is “read only” and always assumed
to be available in every instant; it can-
not be waited for nor can it be emitted
by any module. A sensor can only be
read by accessing its value. Thus a sen-
ator cannot be a part of an occurrence.

An important concept about signals is
that of an occurrence. An occurrence sim-
ply refers to one or more happenings of
a signal. To deal with an occurrence of
the form \( N \ S \), where \( N \) is an expression
that evaluates to a positive integer and \( S \)
is an input (or inputoutput) signal, the
Esterel system checks for the presence
of the signal \( S \) in successive instants and
increments the internal counter whenever
\( S \) is present; the occurrence is com-
plete in the instant when the internal
counter reaches the value \( N \). Thus an
occurrence has a positive duration (in
the number of instants).

Occurrences are used in most of the
temporal statements in Esterel; for
example, the \textit{await} statement. In later
versions of Esterel, the definition of an
occurrence is somewhat expanded
and made more flexible; for example,

classical connectives like \textit{and} or, and
\textit{not} allow us to describe logical combi-
inations of signals. For example, the
occurrence \( 3 \text{ STOP} \) is complete when
the Esterel system counts the presence
of three \textit{STOP} signals (once in each
instant). Note that the \textit{STOP} signals
need not be present in consecutive
instants. Thus the duration of this
occurrence is at least three instants.

An Esterel program can wait for,
read, generate, and check for the pres-
ence of a signal. In this sense, a signal is
like a message; however, these two con-
cepts differ in some significant ways.

A module can wait for an input or
inputoutput signal to occur using the
\textit{await} statement. A module can check
for the presence (or absence) of an
input signal or an inputoutput signal
using the \textit{present} statement. A module
can access (or read) the value of a val-
ued input signal, a valued inputoutput
signal, or a valued sensor using the \? 
construct. A module can broadcast an
output signal or an inputoutput signal
using the \textit{emit} statement. These opera-
tions on signals are explained later.

\textbf{Instantaneous broadcast}

Esterel incorporates an \textit{instantaneous
broadcast} mechanism for signal recep-
tion and transmission. This means that
a signal cannot have any destination
specified; all signals are broadcast and
any module may listen to and read an
emitted signal. Also, signals do not have any unique identifier.

In Esterel, signals are emitted and used much like bus signals in a hardware interconnection bus (Figure 3). Any signal emitted makes a “wire” for that signal come alive with the information contained in that signal. Any module (including the module that emitted the signal) can tap this wire and read the emitted signal. Thus, no copies are made of an emitted signal. After the current reaction instant is over, the “bus” is “reset” (that is, cleared of all previous signals) and waits for any further input signals to be put on the wire by the environment. That is, the signals are available only in the current instant. The system’s reaction to those input signals starts the next reaction instant.

The bus analogy is useful to illustrate another important fact about Esterel signals. A signal S emitted by a module M at a reaction instant t is made available to all other modules in the same reaction instant t. The emission of signal S constitutes part of the input event at t and the reaction instant t is not completed until some module reacts to the presently available signal S. Thus an input event at an instant consists of all input signals received from the environment as well as all signals emitted by the system as a part of its reaction at that current instant. Such a composite mixture of input and output signals available at any instant is called an event in Esterel. The input signals within an event constitute an input event. The output signals within an event constitute an output event; thus, an event is a composite of an input and output event.

In summary, all input signals received from the environment as well as all signals emitted by the system as a part of its reaction at that current instant. Such a composite mixture of input and output signals available at any instant is called an event in Esterel. The input signals within an event constitute an input event. The output signals within an event constitute an output event; thus, an event is a composite of an input and output event.

The vending machine can serve either tea or coffee. The user has to insert a coin and then press either the TEA or COFFEE button, after which the system delivers the requested drink. Listing 1 depicts a specification of VM1.

The code consists of only one module called VM1. The interface of this module consists of three input signals (COIN, TEA, and COFFEE) and two output signals (SERVE_TEA and SERVE_COFFEE). The presence of the input signal COIN signifies the insertion of a coin in the slot. The presence of the input signal TEA (or COFFEE) signifies the pressing of the TEA (or COFFEE) button. The machine indicates its readiness to serve tea (or coffee) by emitting to the environment the output signal SERVE_TEA (or SERVE_COFFEE). Notice that we are not interested (at this level of abstraction) in a number of details of the machine’s operations, for example, the actual mechanism of the delivery of tea or coffee. All our input and output signals are then purely logical signals, intended to represent the behavior of the environment or the system, and as such, do not have anything to do with the technology of switches, sensors, and such used to receive and generate them.

The relation directive is used to describe restrictions on the possible combinations of the input signals present in an instant. The relation directive has the form:

```
relation Master-signal-name => Slave-signal-name;
```

and indicates that in any instant where the input signal having the name Master-signal-name is present, the input signal having the name Slave-signal-name should also be present.

Esterel has another kind of relation directive, which is used to declare signals that are pair-wise incompatible. This directive has the form:

```
relation Signal-name₁ # Signal-name₂ # ... # Signal-nameₙ;
```

and states that in any instant, at most one of the n input signals Signal-name₁, Signal-
name0, ... Signal-name$_n$ may be present; that is, no two or more of these n input signals can be simultaneously present in any instant. Use of the relation directive reduces the size of the automaton generated from an Esterel specification. The relation directive in Listing 1 indicates that in any instant, at most one of the three input signals COIN, TEA, and COFFEE may be present.

The classical Infinite Loop statement has the format:

\begin{verbatim}
Loop Body end loop;
\end{verbatim}

and it forever executes the enclosed Esterel statements. The only restriction is that the Body must not terminate in the same instant that it started; this will cause an instantaneous infinite loop and the compiler will usually flag this as an error. Note that in this form, the loop statement never terminates; whenever an execution of Body terminates, a new execution of Body is started.

The multiple await statement has the form:

\begin{verbatim}
await
case Occurrence1 do Body1
    case Occurrence2 do Body2
    ...
    case Occurrence$_n$ do Body$_n$
end await;
\end{verbatim}

This statement is used to wait for any of the n occurrences: Occurrence$_1$, Occurrence$_2$, ..., Occurrence$_n$. If only one of the occurrences, Occurrence$_n$, is complete in the current instant, then the execution of the corresponding case alternative behavior (given by the body Body$_n$ of Esterel statements) is started and all other awaits are terminated. If more than one occurrence is complete in the current input instant, then the case alternative for the occurrence that textually occurs first is executed and the other occurrences are ignored (that is, the behavior for them not executed). This rule makes the multiple await statement deterministic. This await statement terminates whenever the body Body$_n$, whose execution was started, terminates.

The statement await Occurrence; waits till the Occurrence is complete and terminates when it happens.

The statement emit Signal-name$_i$ is used to broadcast the given output signal given by Signal-name$_i$; the emit statement executes instantaneously. That is, the emitted signal is also made available as part of the present instant.

The sequential composition operator ; terminates an Esterel statement and binds two Esterel statements in a sequential order for execution. Note that the semicolon does not denote an empty statement (unlike in C); thus ; is illegal.

Now that the system behavior is specified as an Esterel program, we shall see how to test these behavioral specifications. Following are some examples of test cases for the above program (which can be performed by compiling and executing the Esterel program):

1. \( T_1 = (\{\text{COIN},\\}, \{\text{TEA}, \text{SERVE_TEA}\}) \)

This test case consists of two instants; expected input and output events are given for each instant. Initially, the user inserts a coin; the system is not expected to produce any reaction to this input event. Then the user presses the TEA button and the system is expected to react by serving tea to him. No other input events are happening during the execution of this test case.

2. \( T_2 = (\{\text{COIN}, \\}, \{\text{COFFEE}, \text{SERVE_COFFEE}\}) \)

This test case is similar to \( T_1 \) but checks the behavior of the COFFEE subsystem.

3. \( T_3 = (\{\text{COIN}, \\}, \{\text{COIN}, \\}, \{\text{TEA}, \text{SERVE_TEA}\}, \{\text{TEA}, \text{SERVE_TEA}\}) \)

This test case is similar to \( T_1 \) but checks whether the system “remembers” the number of coins inserted (it does not).

A temperature controller

The temperature within a chamber (perhaps the site of some chemical process) needs to be maintained at a known constant value \( (C = 250 \text{ degrees}) \). We need to specify the behavior of a temperature controller to do this job. Two boilers, B1 and B2, are available to heat the chamber (Figure 4). The temperature of the chamber is regularly provided by a thermometer at every N seconds. As soon as the temperature within the chamber goes below C, boiler B1 should be switched on (unless it is already on). If B1 does not restore the temperature to C within a known constant time interval \( \Delta T = 1 \text{ minute} \), then boiler B2 should be switched on. As soon as the temperature rises above C, each boiler that is on must be switched off.

The temperature controller system just described is specified in Listing 2. TEMP is an integer valued input signal. The input signal SAMPLE_TIME is used to indicate the need to sample the temperature reading; that is, the environment supplies the input signal SAMPLE_TIME whenever it is time to receive the TEMP signal. SAMPLE_TIME is a logical input signal but it can be generated by a clock whenever it is time to see the temperature. Local signals HIGH and LOW indicate whether the current temperature is above or below C. The output signals B1_ON, B1_OFF, B2_ON, and B2_OFF are used to indicate the output actions of the system in switching the boilers on or off.

The relation directive states the master-slave combination of the signals SAMPLE_TIME and TEMP; it states that in any instant where the input signal SAMPLE_TIME is present, the input signal TEMP should also be present. HIGH and LOW are pure local signals and are not available to the environment external to the program.

New constructs

The signal statement is used to declare the local signals used within the module and its sub-modules and parts. This statement has the format:

\begin{verbatim}
signal Signal-decl$_1$, Signal-decl$_2$, ..., Signal-decl$_n$ in Body end;
\end{verbatim}

The above statement declares n local signals which are available only within the Body and not outside. For pure signals, Signal-decl$_i$ contains only a signal name. For a valued signal, the declaration Signal-decl$_i$ has the form Signal-name$_i$ : Signal-type$_i$. 
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The `nothing` statement is a null statement that does nothing and terminates instantaneously. In addition, Esterel has the `halt` statement, which also does nothing but never terminates. These two statements are surprisingly effective in many situations.

The `every` statement is the *Periodic Restart* construct in Esterel. The statement:

```
 every Occurrence do Body end every;
```

has the following meaning: whenever the Occurrence is complete in the present instant, the execution of Body is started and another wait starts for the completion of the next Occurrence. If the next Occurrence is complete before the execution of Body is completed, the current execution of Body is terminated and a fresh execution of Body is started. The `every` `tick` `do` `Body` `end` `every` statement allows some actions to be performed at every instant.

The `Parallel Composition` construct `||` has the following format:

```
Body1 || Body2 || ... || Bodyn
```

where each Bodyi is a group of Esterel instructions. The execution of all the bodies starts at the same instant and continues in parallel. The entire construct terminates only after the execution of each body terminates; the execution of all bodies need not terminate at the same instant.

The `present` statement is the signal testing construct. The statement:

```
present Signal-name then Body1 else Body2 end present;
```

has the following meaning. If the signal having the name Signal-name is present in the current instant then start the execution of the statement body Body1; otherwise, start the execution of the statement body Body2. The entire statement terminates when the execution of Body1 or Body2 terminates (whichever body was started). In the `present` statement, either the `then` Body1 part or the `else` Body2 part can be omitted (but not both).

The statement:

```
present Signal-name else Body2 end present;
```

has the following meaning: if the signal having the name Signal-name is present in the current instant then do nothing; otherwise, start the execution of the statement body Body2. Thus it is equivalent to the statement:

```
present Signal-name then nothing else Body2 end present;
```

Similarly, the statement:

```
present Signal-name then Body1 end present;
```

has the following meaning: whenever the Occurrence is complete in the present instant, the execution of Body is started and another wait starts for the completion of the next Occurrence. If the next Occurrence is complete before the execution of Body is completed, the current execution of Body is terminated and a fresh execution of Body is started. The `every` `tick` `do` `Body` `end` `every` statement allows some actions to be performed at every instant.

The `Parallel Composition` construct `||` has the following format:

```
Body1 || Body2 || ... || Bodyn
```

where each Bodyi is a group of Esterel instructions. The execution of all the bodies starts at the same instant and continues in parallel. The entire construct terminates only after the execution of each body terminates; the execution of all bodies need not terminate at the same instant.

The `present` statement is the signal testing construct. The statement:

```
present Signal-name then Body1 else Body2 end present;
```

has the following meaning. If the signal having the name Signal-name is present in the current instant then start the execution of the statement body Body1; otherwise, start the execution of the statement body Body2. The entire statement terminates when the execution of Body1 or Body2 terminates (whichever body was started). In the `present` statement, either the `then` Body1 part or the `else` Body2 part can be omitted (but not both).

The statement:

```
present Signal-name else Body2 end present;
```

has the following meaning: if the signal having the name Signal-name is present in the current instant then do nothing; otherwise, start the execution of the statement body Body2. Thus it is equivalent to the statement:

```
present Signal-name then nothing else Body2 end present;
```

Similarly, the statement:

```
present Signal-name then Body1 end present;
```

has the following meaning: whenever the Occurrence is complete in the present instant, the execution of Body is started and another wait starts for the completion of the next Occurrence. If the next Occurrence is complete before the execution of Body is completed, the current execution of Body is terminated and a fresh execution of Body is started. The `every` `tick` `do` `Body` `end` `every` statement allows some actions to be performed at every instant.

The `Parallel Composition` construct `||` has the following format:

```
Body1 || Body2 || ... || Bodyn
```

where each Bodyi is a group of Esterel instructions. The execution of all the bodies starts at the same instant and continues in parallel. The entire construct terminates only after the execution of each body terminates; the execution of all bodies need not terminate at the same instant.

The `present` statement is the signal testing construct. The statement:

```
present Signal-name then Body1 else Body2 end present;
```

has the following meaning. If the signal having the name Signal-name is present in the current instant then start the execution of the statement body Body1; otherwise, start the execution of the statement body Body2. The entire statement terminates when the execution of Body1 or Body2 terminates (whichever body was started). In the `present` statement, either the `then` Body1 part or the `else` Body2 part can be omitted (but not both).

The statement:

```
present Signal-name else Body2 end present;
```

has the following meaning: if the signal having the name Signal-name is present in the current instant then do nothing; otherwise, start the execution of the statement body Body2. Thus it is equivalent to the statement:

```
present Signal-name then nothing else Body2 end present;
```

Similarly, the statement:

```
present Signal-name then Body1 end present;
```

has the following meaning: whenever the Occurrence is complete in the present instant, the execution of Body is started and another wait starts for the completion of the next Occurrence. If the next Occurrence is complete before the execution of Body is completed, the current execution of Body is terminated and a fresh execution of Body is started. The `every` `tick` `do` `Body` `end` `every` statement allows some actions to be performed at every instant.
is equivalent to the statement:

\[
\text{present Signal-name then Body}_1 \text{ else nothing end present;}
\]

If $\text{?S}$ is a valued signal which is available in the current instant, then the expression $\text{?S}$ returns the value of this signal in the current instant. Thus $?S$ is the signal value extraction operator. $?S$ cannot be used on pure signals. Note that $?S$ is a unary operator. The type of the value returned by the expression $\text{?S}$ is the same as the type of signal $S$.

Esterel provides the standard if-then-else control statement which has the form:

\[
\text{if boolean-expression then Body}_1 \text{ else Body}_2 \text{ end if;}
\]

When the control comes to this statement, the boolean-expression is evaluated. If the expression evaluates to true in the current instant then the execution of $\text{Body}_1$ is started; otherwise, the execution of $\text{Body}_2$ is started. In the if-then-else statement, either the then $\text{Body}_1$ part or the else $\text{Body}_2$ part can be omitted (but not both).

The statement:

\[
\text{if boolean-expression else Body}_2 \text{ end if;}
\]

has the following meaning: if the boolean-expression evaluates to true in the current instant then do nothing and pass the control to the following statement; otherwise start the execution of the statement body $\text{Body}_2$. Thus it is equivalent to the statement:

\[
\text{if boolean-expression then nothing else Body}_2 \text{ end if;}
\]

Similarly, the statement:

\[
\text{if boolean-expression then Body}_1 \text{ end if;}
\]

is equivalent to the statement:

\[
\text{if boolean-expression then Body}_1 \text{ else nothing end if;}
\]

The do-watching-timeout is a basic and important temporal statement in Esterel. This statement has the following format:

\[
\text{do} \quad \text{Body}_1 \quad \text{watching Occurrence timeout Body}_2 \end{end};
\]

The timeout clause is optional. Whenever the control arrives at this statement, it executes as follows:

- if the Occurrence is complete in the current instant then
  - if Body$_2$ is given then
    - start executing Body$_2$
  - else pass control to the following statement
- else start executing Body$_1$.

If Occurrence becomes complete before Body$_1$ has finished then immediately abort the execution of Body$_1$.

- if Body$_2$ is given then
  - start executing Body$_2$
- else pass control to the following statement

else Body$_1$ has finished execution but Occurrence is not complete yet pass control to the following statement

As is clear from the above flowchart, this statement terminates either when Body$_1$ does or when Body$_2$ does, provided Occurrence completes before Body$_1$ has finished its execution. The statement await Occurrence; is equivalent to the statement:

\[
\text{do halt watching Occurrence;}
\]

**Back to the example**

With these new Esterel constructs, we are ready to describe the specification shown in Listing 2. The module consists of two loops which are executing in parallel and which exchange information using local signals $\text{HIGH}$ and $\text{LOW}$ let us call them Loop1 and Loop2.

Loop1 keeps waiting for the input signal $\text{SAMPLE_TIME}$. Every instant when this input signal is available, Loop1 also reads the input signal $\text{TEMP}$ and emits the local signal $\text{HIGH}$ or $\text{LOW}$ depending on the value of the input signal $\text{TEMP}$.

Loop2 assumes that, initially, the temperature within the chamber will be less than $C$. If that is not the case, then it simply waits till the temperature falls below $C$. When the temperature falls below $C$ (as indicated by the presence of the local signal $\text{LOW}$ emitted by Loop1), Loop2 switches on boiler $B_1$ (as indicated by the emission of the output signal $\text{B1_ON}$) and then waits until either the temperature again rises above $C$ (as indicated by the presence of the local signal $\text{HIGH}$ emitted by Loop1) or time interval $\Delta T$ elapses (as indicated by the presence of the input signal $\text{DELTA_T}$). This effect is achieved by the do-watching-timeout statement. If $\text{HIGH}$ arrives before $\text{DELTA_T}$, boiler $B_1$ is switched off (as indicated by the emission of the mass signal $\text{B1_OFF}$). Otherwise, boiler $B_2$ is switched on and when $\text{HIGH}$ finally arrives, both $B_1$ and $B_2$ are switched off. The above behavior is forever repeated using the loop statement. Notice how we have used logical clock signals $\text{SAMPLE_TIME}$ and $\text{DELTA_T}$.

The specification of the system needs to be tested for a number of typical situations. For each such situation, you can design a test case. Then test the system simulator constructed from Listing 2 using these test cases. Some examples of the test cases are as follows:

1. $(\text{SAMPLE_TIME,TEMP(200)},\text{[B1_ON]}), (\text{SAMPLE_TIME,TEMP(300)},\text{[B1_OFF]})$

   Initially, the temperature is below $C$, so the system should react by switching on boiler $B_1$. Then the temperature crosses above $C$, so the system should react by switching off $B_1$.

2. $(\text{SAMPLE_TIME,TEMP(100)},\text{[B1_ON]}), (\text{SAMPLE_TIME,TEMP(150)},\text{[B1_ON]}), (\text{SAMPLE_TIME,TEMP(300)},\text{[B1_OFF]})$

   Initially, the temperature is below $C$, so the system should react by switching on
boiler B1. Then the temperature is still below C (but the time interval ΔT is not yet finished), so the system should not produce any output. Then the temperature crosses above C, so the system should react by switching off boiler B1. Then the temperature is still below C (but the time interval ΔT is not yet finished), so the system should not produce any output. Then while the temperature is still below C, the time interval ΔT finishes, so the system should react by switching on boiler B2. Then the temperature crosses above C, so the system should react by switching off both B1 and B2.

The following properties of the above system are of interest:

- It should never happen that B1 is OFF and B2 is ON at the same instant.
- It should never happen that a boiler (either B1 or B2) is switched on when it is already on.
- It should never happen that a boiler (either B1 or B2) is switched off when it is already off.

Theorem-proving and verification tools of Esterel can be used to verify that Listing 2 satisfies these properties.
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